WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.			
Osc	ar Rey	ynaldo Rodriguez Gonsalez	Case Number:	15-9064MJ	
was pre	sent an	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f d represented by counsel. I conclude by a p he detention of the defendant pending trial in FIND	preponderance of the evidence		
I find by	a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
		There is a record of prior failure to appear i	n court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade law enfo	orcement contact by fleeing fro	om law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imp	orisonment.	
Court a		ourt incorporates by reference the material fire of the hearing in this matter, except as not	ted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
	1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	USIONS OF LAW		
	2.	No condition or combination of conditions v		earance of the defendant as required.	
		DIRECTIONS F	REGARDING DETENTION		
pending order of	rections appeal a court hall del	fendant is committed to the custody of the A facility separate, to the extent practicable, fig. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonate of the United States or on request of an attriver the defendant to the United States Mars	rom persons awaiting or serving the control of the purpose of an appear of the purpose o	ng sentences or being held in custody nsultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
proceed	Ū		THIRD PARTY RELEASE	interior Court it in account the manner of the life	
District from the	er a cop Court. I date of ns with	RDERED that should an appeal of this deter y of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effort f service of a copy of this order or after the of the district court. Failure to timely file object RIM.P.	Pretrial Services at least one ective December 1, 2009, Deforal order is stated on the reco	day prior to the hearing set before the endant shall have fourteen (14) days and within which to file specific written	
	Service	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a th s sufficiently in advance of the hearing befor estigate the potential third party custodian.	e the District Court to allow P		
DATE:	Fel	bruary 27, 2015	9	Swilled	
		, 	Uni	Eileen S. Willett ted States Magistrate Judge	